

written Judgement handed down this day. Accordingly please find attached copy of the said Judgement. We also advise that we shall hand a copy of this letter, the written Judgement and the amended further statement of claim dated 17 March 1999, to Mr Edward."

Now produced and shown to me and marked **SME 20** is a true copy of that letter.

25. Insofar as the first named plaintiff in the Second Affidavit of Glenn Thompson says that I did not attend at his solicitors but at his "domestic premises" I say that in February and March 1999 I attended at the offices of Baldock Stacy & Niven, as referred to above. I believe that the address of Baldock Stacy & Niven is 68 Summer Street, Orange. In the affidavit sworn by the first named plaintiff on 18 February 1998 (item 20 in exhibit **SME 2 Volume 1**) the first named plaintiff gives his address as "formerly of 98 Hill Street, Orange, now of 345 Lords Place, Orange". In the affidavits sworn by the first named plaintiff on 14 December 1998 and 22 December 1998 (items 62 and 66 respectively in exhibit **SME 2 Volume 3**) the first named plaintiff gives his address as 98 Hill Street, Orange. In the affidavit sworn by the first named plaintiff on 22 February 1999 (item 69 in exhibit **SME 2 Volume 3**) the first named plaintiff gives his address as "formerly of 98 Hill Street, Orange, but now of 345 Lords Place, Orange". In the affidavit sworn by the first named plaintiff on 12 March 1999 (item 70 in exhibit **SME 2 Volume 3**) the first named plaintiff gives his address as formerly of 98 Hill Street, Orange but now of 345 Lords Place, Orange. In the affidavit sworn by the first named plaintiff on 31 August 1999 (item 94 in exhibit **SME 2 Volume 4**) the first named plaintiff gives his address as 345 Lords Place, Orange. I have not been to 98 Hill Street, Orange or to 345 Lords Place, Orange.

26. In paragraph 15 (b) of the Second Affidavit of Glenn Thompson (and I note that that affidavit was prepared by Baldock, Stacy & Niven) the first named plaintiff states that :*"In circumstances which I cannot now recall Mr Edward obtained my permission to attend at my home to view and copy any documents which he found to be relevant to the 1995 Supreme Court proceedings."* As set out earlier in this my affidavit , the arrangements for me to attend at the offices of Baldock Stacy and Niven in February 1999 to inspect documents discovered by the plaintiffs, and again in March 1999 to photocopy documents discovered by the

