

it denies each and every allegation in paragraph 4.

5. It does not admit paragraph 5.
6. It does not admit paragraph 6.
7. It does not admit paragraph 7.
8. Save that it admits that in respect of the Woodleigh Heights Estate there was a proposed privately owned and operated water supply and reticulation system, which proposal included provision for dams, tanks, pumps and pipes, it does not admit paragraph 8.
9. It does not admit paragraph 9.
10. It does not admit paragraph 10.
11. It admits paragraph 11 and says further that there existed no requirement for KSC to refer the plans of subdivision to KSWWT.
12. It does not admit paragraph 12.
13. It does not admit paragraph 13.
14. It admits that the plaintiffs had the rights alleged in paragraph 14, subject to the qualification that those rights only existed if the plaintiffs used the land purchased by them in accordance with the relevant permit.
15. It does not admit paragraph 15.
16. It does not admit paragraph 16.
17. It does not admit paragraph 17.
18. It does not admit paragraph 18.
19. It admits paragraph 19 and says further that there existed no requirement for KSC to refer the plans to KSWWT.
20. It does not admit paragraph 20.
21. It does not admit paragraph 21.
22. It does not admit paragraph 22.
23. It does not admit paragraph 23.