

Glenn Thompson. 14 Coutts Street Bulimba QLD 4171
Mobile 040 886 7885 Email glennt@cvcoupling.com

26th July 2013

The Inspector
Victorian Inspectorate.
PO Box 617 Collins Street
West Melbourne
Vic 8007

By Email: info@vicinspectorate.vic.gov.au

Dear Sir.

Complaint - IBAC.

I am writing to register serious concern and to make complaint about the conduct of the IBAC and the conduct of the IBAC Commissioner in person. .

As further detailed below my concern and complaint is that the IBAC Commissioner failed to perform his duty to investigate a matter of serious corruption and that failure was contrary to law in that the Commissioner dismissed my complaint without proper grounds for that dismissal.

My complaint to the Commissioner was made by letter dated 12th June 2013 and I herewith attach a copy of that letter for your reference.

You will note that my complaint included a number of allegations which include an allegation that Justice Robert Osborn fabricated Reasons for Judgment the known effect of which was to ignore, deny and conceal the fact of and the matters and things alleged in paragraphs 1 of my letter of complaint.

By letter of undefined day but dated "July 2013" the Commissioner dismissed my complaint but did not adequately define reasons for that dismissal, in subsequent telephone conversations with Ms Kelly Cunningham and Ms Elizabeth Lee of the Commission I was advised that dismissal was under the hand of the Commissioner and was dismissed pursuant to s.63 of the Independent Broad-based Anti-corruption Commission Act 2011. ("the Act"). I attach a copy of the Commission's letter.

s.63 of the Act (quite properly) provides;

The IBAC must dismiss a complaint or a notification to the IBAC about the conduct of a judicial officer if the complaint or notification directly relates to the **merits** of a decision made, an order made or a judgment given by the judicial officer.

In reply to this and part of my specific complaint to you is that it appears to me to be manifest that the question as to whether or not Reasons for Judgment were fabricated for corrupt purpose does not go to the merits of a decision or order or judgment which was honestly made.

The Act clearly envisages and provides for investigation of corrupt conduct by Judicial Officers in their capacity as Judicial Officers and it is difficult to imagine any possible or envisaged corrupt conduct other than to hand down

decisions, orders or judgments which have been fabricated as a consequence of a bribe or for other corrupt ulterior purpose.

It appears to me that on the Commissioners determination he cannot investigate a possible nexus between a million dollar "gift" and manifestly fabricated Reasons for Judgment in favour of the giver.


Plainly the Act was not intended to enable the Commissioner to investigate whether a Judicial Officer is running a crack house on the side or had sworn false affidavits to avoid traffic fines.

The Act was plainly intended to empower the Commissioner to investigate corrupt conduct of Judicial Officers in their capacity as Judicial Officers and such power must extend to determining as to whether or not there is a nexus between manifestly wrongful and fabricated Reasons for Judgment and a bribe or some other corrupt motive for such Reasons. Such power must include examining decisions, orders and judgments to determine as to whether or not such decisions, orders and judgments are entirely without merit and may have been fabricated for corrupt purpose and it is for this reason that s.61 of the Act requires a former Judicial Officer of higher standing or equal standing but different court to conduct the inquiry.

It appears to me that the purpose and intent and provisions of the Act are so clear and the matters alleged by me would, if proven, constitute serious corrupt conduct that I must raise the spectre and allegation with you that the Commissioner wrongfully, as distinct from mistakenly dismissed my complaint to him

In addition it appears to me that the Commissioner wrongfully dismissed the allegations set out in numbered paragraphs 1, 3, 4, 7 and possibly 6 of my letter of complaint to him cannot even be construed as directly relating to the merits of a decision, order or judgment and these allegations were also dismissed.

Yours Faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Glenn Thompson', with a stylized, cursive script.

Glenn Thompson

12th June 2013

The Commissioner
Independent Broad-Based Corruption Commission
Level 1, North Tower,
459 Collins Street,
Melbourne, VIC 3000

By email submit@ibac.vic.gov.au

Dear Sir.

Corruption – Supreme Court of Victoria.

I refer to you the material which I have set out on the website <http://courtsontrial.com>

I say and allege that the material on that website will lead you to conclude as follows;

1. That on or about November 2005 the lawyers Major General Garde QC (now Justice Garde), Jim Delany SC, Sharron Burchell, Greg Ahern, Michelle Elizabeth Dixon and Steven Mark Edward conspired together to pervert the course of justice and obtain a wrongful judgment of the Supreme Court of Victoria.
2. That on or about November 2006 Justice Robert Osborn acted to pervert the course of justice by authoring and publishing purported Reasons for Judgment which were fabricated by him the known effect of which was to ignore, deny and conceal the fact of and the matters and things giving rise to my first allegation.
3. That on or about April 2007 Justice Osborn and/or other officers of the Supreme Court either alone or in conspiracy with one another to fraudulently fabricate supposed “Authenticated Orders” of the Supreme Court for the purpose of disallowing or rendering invalid an appeal substantially based on the first two allegations.
4. That on or about May 2007 the lawyers Steven Mark Edward and Sharon Burchell and others colluded or conspired with the authors of the abovementioned fabricated “Authenticated Orders” to utter them.
5. That subsequently each of Justices, Buchanan, Beach, Redlich, Neave and Mandy became adequately aware of the matters and things giving rise to allegations 1, 2 and 3 as to give rise to a belief by them that my allegations as to those things were not without substance and they wrongly and corruptly ignored and denied those matters and things.
6. That during the period about December 2006 until August 2009, in proceedings in the Court of Appeal the lawyers mentioned in item 1 above individually and collectively relied on the judgments and Reasons of each of Master Efthim and Justice Osborn while knowing full well that they had obtained those judgments and Reasons in the corrupt circumstances now alleged by me.
7. That on or about December 2009 the Lawyers Katherine Styles and Barrister Richard A Harris conspired with one another and the CEO of the Macedon Ranges Shire Council, Mr. Peter Johnson to pervert the course of justice by relying on a certificate under s242(2) of the Local Government act and signed by the said Peter Johnson while either knowing full well that the matters and things set out in that certificate

were false or alternatively without reasonable or adequate grounds for a belief as to the matters and things set out in that certificate.

8. The other matters and things set out in the website <http://courtsontrial.com>

A few notes.

The website was not authored by me for the purpose of referring these things to you. I established that website during the currency of the Court of Appeal proceedings for the purpose of publicly exposing the corruption. Initially it was set out in very basic manner and as I now know with insufficient detail for people to understand very complex issues. I then had what one could perhaps describe as an emotional aberration and was not able to face the personal anguish these things caused.

I then wrote first drafts of a book which I was intending to have professionally or cooperatively edited for hard copy publishing. I then decided to essentially copy that draft book to the web. The layout is a consequence of the initial draft of the book which was intended to give a brief insight in Chapter 1 to induce a reader to quickly establish that on the face of it outrageous allegations are not without foundation. The substantive detail being in Chapters 2 and following.

From time to time in the material on the web my emotion is on display but having regard to the astounding material set out I trust you will read with a little empathy for stresses caused to me and my family.

I was aware that your commission was impending but to be frank, having regard to me experiences I held little hope, however I recently read your legislation and I now believe that the government is serious and that investigation and consideration by your commission is truly independent. It was upon reading the legislation that I am confident to refer the material to you.

I will in all probability make some more but less serious allegations in the near future.

Thank you.

Yours Faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Glenn Thompson', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Glenn Thompson