GLENN THOMPSON

6th May 2009

Mr. Simon Overland Chief Commissioner of Police Victoria Police Centre 637 Flinders Street Melbourne 3005

By Facsimile 03 9247 5727

Dear Commissioner.

Information against Justice Osborn of the Supreme Court and others.

I write to you personally on an extremely serious issue.

I allege that Justice Osborn of the Supreme Court of Victoria engaged in conduct which could be held to be perverting the course of justice.

In summary during a recent Supreme Court proceeding a number of lawyers cooperated with one another to mislead the court as to fact and law and they secured a wrongful judgment as a consequence of that deceit. At appeal that facts of that deceit became known to Justice Osborn and I say that the evidence supports a view that Justice Osborn then contrived his Reasons for Judgment in such a manner as to ignore, deny, conceal and/or otherwise make wrong the fact that the lawyers had deceived the court.

Complete details and supporting documents are now set out on website http://courtsontrial.com

The specific misrepresentations by the lawyers included.

- misrepresenting the operation of section 9 of the Sale of Land Act 1962 and that section 9 could be avoided by means of contrived 2-lot plans of subdivision.
- misrepresenting a document entitled "Book of Pleadings" so as to falsely represent that the "Book of Pleadings" demonstrated that I was aware that a Kenneth Raymond Buchanan had avoided section 9 by means of contrive 2-lot plans.
- Misrepresenting the "cause of action" set out in the Amended Statement of Claim in Supreme Court proceeding 6321/2005 to be, or to be one and the same as, avoiding section 9 by means of contrived 2-lot plans.
- Misrepresenting that a Water Supply Agreement between Kyneton Shire Waterworks Trust and Woodleigh Heights Resort Developments Pty. Ltd was a lawful and enforceable agreement which gave control of the water supply and reticulation system with cluster subdivision CS1134 to that company.

Justice Osborn was aware that each of these representations was in fact a misrepresentation and in knowledge of these things and in apparent response to my specific allegations in relation to these things he contrived his Reasons for Judgment in such a manner as to ignore deny and conceal and/or otherwise make wrong the fact of these misrepresentations.

In addition to my allegations against Justice Osborne, based on the material contained on website http://courtsontrial.com I also make the following specific allegations.

- That Michelle Elizabeth Dixon of Maddocks swore an affidavit contrived in such a manner as to provided a basis or grounds for specific and overt misrepresentations subsequently made by her and Mr. J. Delany SC and barrister Mr. G. Ahern in their joint Outline of Submissions dated 9th November 2005.
- That Mr. J. Delany SC made oral submissions to the Supreme Court of Victoria which he knew well to be false, misleading and deceptive.
- That the false submissions of Mr. J. Delany SC were made with the knowledge and consent of solicitors Michelle Elizabeth Dixon and Steven Mark Edward and barristers Major General Garde QC and Mr. G. Ahern and Ms Sharon Burchell.
- That Mr. Steven Mark Edward swore false affidavits for the purpose of legitimising his possession of the "Book of Pleadings"
- That each of Steven Mark Edward, Michelle Elizabeth Dixon, J. Delany SC, Major General Garde QC and Sharon Burchell prepared for an conducted their case in such a manner as to conceal their intention to misrepresent the law and the content of the "Book of Pleadings"
- That each of Steven Mark Edward, Michelle Elizabeth Dixon, J. Delany SC, Major General Garde QC and Sharon Burchell both individually and collectively conspired to pervert the course of justice by making representations which they knew well to be false and misleading and contrived to wrongfully obtain a judgment in favour of their respective clients.
- Such other offences as may be disclosed by the material set out on website http://courtontrial.com

In addition I allege that each of the abovementioned lawyers has obtained financial advantage for themselves and their clients as a consequence of their deception.

I say that there is no possibility of any one of the abovementioned lawyers holding a reasoned belief as to the truth of the representations which were made with the knowledge and acquiescence of each of them.

I say that there is no possibility that Justice Osborn held a judicial belief as to the truth of his Reasons for Judgment.

I believe that adequate material including documentary evidence is included on the website http://courtsontrial.com however I will be pleased to assist with your inquiries by providing further information as required.

Yours Faithfully

Glenn Thompson