AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

Glenn Thompson 4 James Str. Whittlesea Vic 3757 26/2/88 Tel 7162420

The Chairman Administrative Appeals Tribunal 500 Collins St., Melbourne Vic 3000

Dear Sir.

Re:- PB7/2206 Woodleish Heishts Resort Develorments P/L.

I hereby sive notice that on the day of hearing I intend to apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal to be heard in this matter.

I intend to draw the following matters to the attention of the tribunal.

- 1/ I was, untill my mortsasees took rossesion of my land the beneficial owner of land within Cluster Subdivision 1134.
- 2/ Woodleish Heishts Resort Developments P/L did enter into a contract or asreement ("the asreement") with the Kuneton Water Board the effect of which was to enable Woodleish Heishts Resort Developments P/L to usure control of Commmon Property and Water within the Cluster Subdivision.
- Woodleish Heishts Resort Develorments did by virtue of the agreement prevent muself from having access to the Common Property and Water and may prevent any future owner from having access to the common property and water.
- Woodleish Heishts Resort Develorments P/L has constructed Tennis Courts on the Common Property and has purported to sell inter alia exclusive rishts to the said Tennis Courts and common property to the exclusion of other present & future owners of land within the Cluster Subdivision.
- Mr Brian Murphy the Manasins Director of Woodleish Heishts Resort Develorments while representing himself as secretary of The Body Corporate attempted to prevent the erection and maintenance of "FOR SALE" signs relating to my land while concurrently facilitating the erection and maintenance of signs advertising land owned by Woodleigh Heights Resort Develorments P/L.
- 5/ The agreement provided for a water supply to land which was at the time situated outside Kyneton Waterworks District and

also to land situated within the Waterworks district but without the Kuneton Urban District.

- 6/ The approval of the Governor in Council for the supply of water to that part of the land situate outside of the Waterworks District was neither sought nor obtained by Kyneton Water Board.
- 7/ The agreement provided for the construction of works for the supply of water and the approval of The Minister for the carrying out of those works was neither sought nor obtained and the works were carried out without lawfull authority.
- By Plan sealed by the Kyneton Water Board on the 8th November 1984 and subsequently approved by Order of the Governor in Council the Kyneton Urban District was extended in the north to include all of the subject land and also extended in the south to include another development to which water was supplied without a supporting agreement and in respect of which works had been done without the approval of The Minister.
- 9/ By subsequent Plan sealed by Kyneton Water Board on the 1st May 1985 and subsequently approved by Order of The Governor in Council the subject land was excised from the Kyneton Urban District.
- 10/ The recommendation that the Draft Order excisins the subject land from the Kyneton Urban District be submitted to the Governor in Council for approval was supported by the statement "The area to be deleted from the Urban District is not supplied....".
- 11/ This advice supporting the Draft Order was absolutely false. At the time some 30 dwellings were supplied with water for domestic use and there was an existing indoor heated swimming pool and spa also supplied by agreement. Additionally Kyneton Water Board fully intended to service almost 100 more allotments within the area to be excised.
- 12/ During the period the subject land was within the Kuneton Urban District the Kuneton Water Board did misrepresent the status of the land and continued to state in relation to my land; "The Board has no mechanism by which the allotments referred to may be supplied with water except with the agreement of Woodleish Heishts Resort Developments P/L".
- 13/ The Kuneton Water Board save false and misleading advice and Woodleigh Heights Resort Developments F/L did refuse to facilitate a water surply to my land and did deny me access to the common property.
- 14/ Due to my inability to secure services to my land I have been unable to meet my oblisations to my mortsasees and they have taken rosession of my land.

- During the period that I was prevented from obtaining services to my land Woodleigh Heights Resort Developments P/L did offer to purchase my land at low prices and pointed out that they did not require myself to provide services to the land or obtain building permits.
- Woodleigh Heights Resort Developments P/L cannot demonstrate to The Tribunal that it can or will facilitate proper access to the Common Property and is unable to demonstrate that the land does have lawfull access to Water or other services and Woodleigh Heights cannot demonstrate to the Tribunal that it will not use its control over the the Common Property and Water supply to obtain advantage for itself.

Yours Faithfully

Glenn Thompson.

## TRANSMISSION REPORT

NEVILE CO

TIME 2.29.1988 15:04

DURATION 2'02"

REMOTE ID 03624965

MODE G3

COMPLETED PAGES 3

RESULT O.K.