it was a claim under or arising out of or in any way related to the contract. Such a claim would to my mind be beyond the scope of the under and arising out of connectors. It was held in the Virgin Atlantic Airways case that a claim based on misleading and deceptive conduct was a claim arising out of a contract where the conduct was engaged in during performance of the contract.

Then there's Hi-Fert v. Kiukiang Maritime Carriers of Justice Emmett where Justice Emmett is referring to at 20 that it's more expansive in connection with a connector, that the matter of construction is wide enough to include a claim alleging contravention of Part V of the Trade Practices Act inducing the contract in question.

Then we have in O'Connor v. Lee Pty Ltd in 1997

Justice Rolfe holding that a clause extending to any
dispute or difference concerning this agreement applied
to a fame on a quantum meruit. Then there's a reference
to Justice Sundberg in Timmick v. Hammock. Perhaps the
point to be made about all of these Your Honour is that
they all take a wide interpretation. It clearly depends
on the factual circumstances and the nature of the
dispute but all the cases that we've tracked down are
cases where the wide approach is confirmed.

Your Honour, they're the matters that we would put in reply.

28 HIS HONOUR: Thank you. In this matter I propose to reserve my
29 decision and we'll adjourn sine die.

MR THOMPSON: Your Honour, may I address one issue just raised by Mr Garde? He raise the issue of potable water. It's



.TW:AC 01/11/06 FTR:32

Thompson

1	simply irrelevant. The question was simply with regard
2	to a reticulated water supply potable or not. It has
3	zero to do with potable or not
4	HIS HONOUR: Well, I don't accept that, Mr Thompson.
5	MR THOMPSON: and the relevant material is found at J24
6	where the Shire of Kyneton sets out in respect to a
7	question specifically related to whether tank or bore or
8	septic sewerage would be available. The council simply
9	says it's conditional upon reticulated water. Zero to do
10	with potable. That's simply obfuscation, sir.
11	HIS HONOUR: That's precisely what Mr Garde put to me and I
12	understand it. In compliance with - the permit had
13	nothing to do with potable water. Having said that we'll
14	adjourn sine die.
15	

.TW:AC 01/11/06 FTR:32 Thompson