a reference is made to the decision of Chief Justice Mann in Trustees and Executors.

So Your Honour in that situation - in that case we'd be saying that the same approach should be adopted here, that you look at the relief sought in the previous proceeding, the relief sought in this proceeding to see - in ascertaining the subject matter, and the words used in the release in this case arising out of or in any way relating to the subject matter are the same - have the same possible broad interpretation as "in respect of" had in Lyon Trust Corporation.

12 HIS HONOUR: Thank you. Mr Garde please.

MR GARDE: Your Honour we will start by just taking Your Honour back again to the amended further statement of claim in the Woodleigh Heights proceedings, and invite Your Honour to just spend a moment and I'll go through the pleading, but before I do that there are two - there are two of course, types of water supply that are under discussion in this pleading.

There is the water supply that was provided on the land, which was of course non-obtainable water - non-drinkable water, and then there was the prospect of water becoming available from the Kyneton Shire Water Works trust. And one has to, in looking at the pleading and therefore looking at what was known at the time, identify the features of the two systems, one existing prior to 1982, and one which as we know potentially became available as and from 1982.

And with that in mind what I would invite Your

Honour to do is just to look for a start at Paragraph 6,

and in Paragraph 6 on p.3 of the amended further