

14th July 2014

The Hon. Robert Clark, MP
Attorney-General

Dear Attorney General.

Attorney General v Thompson - VGSO Ref 1367077

I have been provided with a copy of a letter from Stephen Lee of the Victorian Government Solicitors Office, purportedly issued under instruction from yourself.

In that letter, under colour of office of Attorney General and of the Victorian Government Solicitor you intended to and have wrongfully intimidated my web host, IT Connections, and requested/required IT connections to "take down" my website <http://courtsontrial.com> until (unspecified) material that is (alleged by you and the VGSO) to be defamatory or in contempt of court has been removed.

As you and the VGSO are abundantly aware I am the proud publisher of and have editorial control of the material on the website <http://courtsontrial.com> and I am also the proud publisher of the videos which are linked from that website.

You are also well aware that my web host, IT Connections, is not and cannot be construed to be the publisher of my website and that IT Connections has no editorial control at all or responsibility for the content of my website and cannot possibly be expected to be aware of the content or legal implications of the content.

You are also well aware that the authorities relied upon by you to give force to your wrongful request and intimidate IT Connections are entirely irrelevant in that in each of those cases quoted and relied on by you the material was defamatory of an aggrieved natural person and google.com was the publisher with editorial control and Google had refused/failed to exercise that editorial control.

In this instance you do not purport to be an aggrieved person and the VGSO does not purport to be acting for any supposed aggrieved person and no supposed aggrieved person has ever contacted me, the admitted and proud publisher of courtsontrial.com, with any request to exercise my editorial control.

You have wrongly and reprehensibly intimidated IT Connections with false implications.

Defamation.

The Court does not have a cause of action in defamation. You do not purport to be an aggrieved person and neither you or the VGSO purport to be acting for any other supposedly aggrieved person..

As you are well aware there are a number of defences to claims of defamation. One of those defences is substantial truth.

As you are manifestly aware all of the imputations presently set out on my website are concluded by me from the material which has been published in various Tribunals and Courts and that material is published on the website in such a manner as to allow a reader to determine the truth and reasonableness of my imputations.

The conduct of the Court and of the office of Attorney General in respect of misconduct of judicial officers are matters of highest public concern.

Inter alia, I rely on sections 9 and 25 to 29 of the Defamations Act 2005.

Contempt of Court.

In this matter I say and allege that it is the Court itself and you, the Attorney General, who are in contempt of Court and who are acting in such a manner as to undermine the public confidence in the Court.

The actions of the Court and yourself, the Attorney General, and the VGSO are seeking to deny and conceal the abundant evidence that the Court and in particular Garde and Osborn have acted as set out on my website and alleged by me.

By seeking to conceal these things and by failing to address them the Court and you, the Attorney General, are in fact confirming and demonstrating that corrupt lawyers and judicial officers are protected and seemingly above and beyond the law applicable to ordinary citizens.

The public confidence in the Court will be immeasurably increased if it is demonstrated that corrupt lawyers and judicial officers are dealt with. On the other hand if you persist in your present ignore and denial the public confidence will be further diminished.

The material addressed on my website and in my videos relates to several matters of overt fraud and corruption, one aspect of which is within the easy and empathetic understanding of all persons.

An understanding of that manifest and overt fraud is gained from the accurate description in the Victorian Parliament on 21st November 1985 by Max McDonald MLA who said;

Mr McDONALD (Whittlesea)—The matter I raise with the minister for Local Government is a serious matter concerning a constituent. In November 1979 Mr Glen Thompson of Whittlesea purchased land in Kyneton on a terms contract. A planning permit was current and building permits were available.

Late in 1980 a Mr Buchanan and a Mr Brian Murphy proposed a development of a time-share holiday resort on the area adjacent to my constituent's land. Subsequently, Woodleigh Heights Resort Development Pty Ltd was formed to develop Woodleigh Heights time-share resort and that company entered into a contract to purchase my constituent's land.

Some time after that the company defaulted in the contract to purchase the land, and my constituent was informed by the company that, of the rescinded this contract, it would remove his access to water and render the land valueless.

Kyneton Water Board confirmed the ability of Woodleigh Heights Resort Development Pty Ltd to carry out that threat, although the board would not make available a copy of the agreement. The Kyneton council also informed my constituent that building permits would not be available without the availability of water to the block.

This overt and grievous fraud described by Max McDonald was subsequently perpetuated and ultimately brought to completion as a direct consequence of the fact that in 1988 the then Lieutenant Colonel Garde acting for the timeshare company and Ian Lonie solicitor for the Council and Water Authority carefully cooperated with each other in such a manner as to deceive the then Administrative Appeals Tribunal into a belief that that Timeshare company was the developer of the cluster subdivision and by implication entitled to lawfully enter into the water supply agreement to facilitate supply of water to the entire cluster subdivision including my land.

Relying on the carefully misleading submissions of Garde and Lonie in appeal P87/2206 the Tribunal said;

This matter has the following relevant history.

- 1 Woodleigh Heights Resort Developments Pty Ltd is a company which at all material time has been engaged in the provision of Time Share accommodation.
- 2 By a series of subdivisions and resubdivisions, the first taking place in 1976 and the final one taking place pursuant to a permit granted on 21 November, 1980, Woodleigh Heights Resort Developments Pty Ltd subdivided fifty hectares of land into 134 cluster allotments having an average lot size of 0.27 hectare.

We turn now to the matters of water supply and sewerage. There was a good deal of evidence at the hearing as to these matters. Such evidence was given by Mr Peter Everist, an Engineer called by the Responsible Authority, and Mr L McGuire, an Engineer called by the Appellant/Applicant. There was no real difference between the evidence given by the two Engineers. ~~The existing Time Share development is supplied by water and sewerage facilities provided by the Kyneton Water Board pursuant to agreements between the Appellant/Applicant and that Board. It is unnecessary to go into the history of these agreements. In brief, the Kyneton Water Board operates and maintains the water main along Edgecombe Road to Melville Drive while the internal reticulation system is the responsibility of the developer.~~ However, the development has now reached the

The fact however, known to Garde and Lonie was that WHRD was not incorporated until 10th March 1981 and WHRD was not and could not be construed to be the developer and the water supply agreement was not for the supply of water to the subdivision but was for the supply of water to the company and to the exclusion of the subdivision per se and to the specific exclusion of all land not owned by WHRD.

The fact known to Garde and Lonie was that WHRD was merely an owner of allotments with identical rights and entitlements to myself. (appended hereto is a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation of WHRD)

If the tribunal was aware of the truth known to Garde and Lonie the heinous fraud described in the Victorian Parliament by Max McDonald MLA on 21st November 1985 would have been at an end and the subsequent hearings, including before Osborn would not have occurred.

As well and truly detailed on my website the Reasons for Judgment of Osborn flagrantly fly in the face of numerous aspects of the facts and the law in a carefully and purposefully co-ordinated manner the manifest effect, intended by Osborn and known to the Court and yourself and the VGSO, is to purport to legitimise the 1988 misrepresentations of Garde and Lonie and legitimise the grievous fraud described by Max McDonald.

On the face of it, the Court and in particular Garde and Osborn have sought to and have deceived the people of Victoria in identical manner as Garde and Lonie deceived the Tribunal.

By now seeking to deny and conceal these things you and the VGSO act in contempt of the people of Victoria.

Further Considerations.

I refer you to my video entitled "Justice Greg Garde serial fraudster conspirator" and also to my video entitled "Justice Robert Osborn fabricate authenticated orders"

On the facts known to you, the Court and the VGSO, there is abundant prima facie evidence that Garde and Osborn are serial offenders and have acted as alleged by me.

I have been biding my time until you, the Attorney General, displayed your hand so to speak, you have now done so and on the face of it your intention and purpose is to deny and conceal the matters clearly evinced by the material set out on my website and in the Court and Tribunal records..

Now that you have exposed your hand I will move to the next stage. As I will shortly demonstrate the corrupt conduct of the Council and Water Authority as concealed and denied by Garde, Osborn, the Court and yourself extends beyond the small aspect discussed by me to date.

In the period at least 1979 to 1983 the Council and Water Authority were engaged in numerous instances of corrupt conduct and in one instance the Council and Water Authority conspired to deprive/defraud an elderly, retired couple out of present day value of about \$3,000,000.00 in property value and the conduct of the Council

and Water Authority and Garde now extends to affect in excess of 2000 people and into the federal sphere of law and well beyond your control and influence. I will be shortly publishing detail of these things.

In the fullness of time I will succeed and I will expose Garde and Osborn and all apologists and deniers.

To be blunt, the fraud described by Max McDonald and perpetuated and facilitated by the corrupt conduct of Garde and then concealed and denied by the further corrupt conduct of Garde and Osborn and the Court and now yourself and the VGSO and the broader extreme corruption soon to be published by me will in time become famous and the apologists and deniers such as the court, yourself and the VGSO will become indelibly infamous.

The court, you and the VGSO are fixed with knowledge of abundant prima facie evidence as to my allegations.

My Website.

As a consequence of the fact that you wrongfully intimidated my web host my website will soon be offline for 10 or 15 minutes while the new IP address on an American server is promulgated to DNS servers .

Compensation to IT Connections.

You wrongly intimidated IT Connections and have caused substantial distress, cost and loss of at least my business, I expect you personally and the VGSO to immediately contact IT Connections and make restitution

Conduct of this matter.

Notwithstanding that the Court does not have a cause of action in defamation and notwithstanding that neither you or the VGSO purport to be acting for any supposedly aggrieved person and in the interest of progressing this matter I invite and require you to further conduct this matter in the spirit of Division 1 of the Defamation Act as follows;


- 1) My website and videos set out a number of matters, facts and things, i.e;
 - a) That at paragraph 8 of his Reasons for Judgment Osborn substituted the word "submission" with the word "subdivision".
 - b) That Osborn and the Court authored and issued purported Authenticated Order Documents containing complimentary "errors" of addition and omission.
 - c) That in 1988 Garde and Lonie led the Tribunal to believe that WHRD was the developer of CS1134.
- 2) From those published matters facts and things I conclude and impugn various things.

I invite you to set out with particularity any matter fact or thing which is factually in error.

I invite you to set out with particularity any conclusion or imputation made by me which is not reasonably based on the matters facts and things set out in my website.

I undertake to alter/amend/remove any matter, fact or thing which is in factual error and I undertake to alter/amend/remove any conclusion or imputation not reasonably based on the remaining agreed matters facts and things or alternatively, where I do not agree with your particulars, and in the interest of properly informing the public, I undertake to publish your particulars as to any matter, fact or thing or conclusion or imputation.

Yours Faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Glenn Thompson', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Glenn Thompson

CC. Garde, Osborn, all M's P., IT Connections, The Web. Stephen Lee & Clytie Shimmin VGSO.

"GAT 1"
NATIONAL COMPANIES AND SECURITIES COMMISSION

80

Companies (Victoria) Code

Sub-section 31 (2)

Registered No.:

C— 178407 H

**CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION
ON CHANGE OF NAME OF COMPANY**

This is to certify that

TWELFTH MONELUX PTY. LTD.

which was on the

10th March 1981

incorporated

under the Companies Act 1961

as a proprietary company, on the

2nd April 1981

changed its name to

WOODLIGH HEIGHTS RESORT DEVELOPMENTS PTY. LTD.

and that the company is a proprietary company, and is a company limited by shares.

Given under the seal of the National Companies and Securities Commission at Melbourne on this

20th

day of

July

19

84

[Signature]
A person authorised by the Commissioner for Corporate
Affairs for the State of Victoria Delegate of the National
Companies and Securities Commission.



CO. 042